#### Therapeutic Transformation of Chronic Kidney Disease

Katherine R. Tuttle, MD, FASN, FACP, FNKF Executive Director for Research Providence Inland Northwest Health

Professor of Medicine Nephrology Division and Kidney Research Institute Institute of Translational Health Sciences University of Washington



In the spirit of honoring the composition of place, I acknowledge that I live and work on the homelands of the Spokane, Palouse, Nez Perce, Coeur d'Alene, and Kootenai Tribal People.

I am grateful to be on this land and ask for its support as we work to create an equitable, diverse, and inclusive community.





#### Disclosures

#### Therapeutics for diabetes and kidney disease:

- Eli Lilly and Company
- Boehringer Ingelheim
- Bayer
- Novo Nordisk
- Travere
- ProKidney

#### Goals

- Describe the scale, risks, and mechanisms of chronic kidney disease (CKD).
- Define guideline directed medical therapies (GDMT) to reduce kidney and cardiovascular risks of CKD.
- Discuss strategies to implement GDMT in persons with CKD.

### CKD is a major public health concern



Rank in cause of death



# What Problem are We Trying to Solve?

#### 537 million

People live with diabetes worldwide



Tuttle KR et al. CJASN 2022;17:1092-1103

#### **Diabetes**

Aggravating factors: High-protein diet, obesity, hypertension, APOL1 genotype, concurrent CKDs



Kidney damage onset and progression

Tuttle KR et al. Kidney Int 2022;102:248-260

Diabetic Kidney Disease: Glomerular, Tubulointerstitial, and Arteriolar Pathology









#### KDIGO 2022 Clinical Practice Guideline for Management of Diabetes in CKD



Diabetes with CKD

de Boer IH et al. Diabetes Care 2022;45:3075-3090

## Angiotensin Receptor Blockade in Type 2 Diabetes and CKD

Doubling of serum creatinine, ESKD, or death



Brenner B et al. N Engl J Med 2001;345:861-869

Lewis EJ et al. N Eng J Med. 2001;345:851-860

# SGLT2 Inhibition Cardiovascular Trials in Type 2 Diabetes

- Reduce risk of major adverse CVD Events.
  - Heart failure (empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin)
  - Atherosclerotic CVD (3-point MACE: myocardial infarction, stroke, CVD death)
  - CVD death (empagliflozin, dapagliflozin)
- Decrease macroalbuminuria, eGFR decline, and kidney failure.
- CVD and CKD benefits are present in patients with CKD.

### The Trilogy of SGLT2 Inhibitors for CKD

All SGLT2 inhibitor trials in CKD were stopped early based on clear evidence of benefit – A first in Nephrology

Primary outcomes: Substantial eGFR decline (40%, 50%, 57%), kidney failure, or death due to kidney or cardiovascular causes



#### CREDENCE

Adults with type 2 diabetes, eGFR <u>></u>30 mL/min/1.73 m2, UACR >300 mg/g (N=4401) Perkovic V *et al. N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:2295-2306

#### Residual risk



#### DAPA-CKD

Adults with or without type 2 diabetes, eGFR <u>></u>25 mL/min/1.73 m2, UACR >200 mg/g (n=2906).

Heerspink HJL et al. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1436-1446

#### **EMPA-KIDNEY**

Adults with or without type 2 diabetes, eGFR  $\geq$ 45 to <90 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> and UACR  $\geq$ 200 mg/g or  $\geq$ 20 to <45 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> irrespective of albuminuria (N=6609).

Herrington W *et al.* for the EMPA-KIDNEY Collaborative Group. *N Engl J Med.* 2023;388:117-127

#### Dapagliflozin Effects on Heart Failure Events and Cardiovascular Death by Kidney Function

#### DELIVER HFpEF and HFmrEF



		eGFR ≥60 mL/min/1	73 m <sup>2</sup>	eGFR 45 to <60 ml	L /min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	
CI	haracteristic	Placebo (n = 1577)	Dapagliflozin (n = 1615)	Placebo (n = 831)	Dapagliflozin (n = 826)	
c) hk	/ death, heart failure ospitalization or gent heart failure sit					
	Events, No. (%)	255 (16)	223 (14)	159 (19)	113 (14)	
	Rate/100 patient-years, (95% CI)	7.7 (6.8-8.7)	6.4 (5.6-7.3)	9.5 (8.1-11.1)	6.5 (5.4-7.8)	
	HR (95% CI)	0.84 (0.70-1.00)		0.68 (0.54-0.87)		
	NNT <sup>a</sup>	33		18		

### EMPA-REG and CREDENCE Preventing eGFR Decline in Type 2 Diabetes



### The Kidney–Heart Connection for Organ Protection by SGLT2 Inhibitors



Braunwald E. N Engl J Med 2022;386:2024-2034

# CKD Risks are Reduced in Clinical Trials of Patients with and without CKD or Diabetes

Kidney disease progression Acute kidney injury **Events/participants** Event rate RR **Events/participants** Event rate Mean baseline eGFR. per 1000 patient-years (95% CI) per 1000 patient-years mL/min per 1.73m<sup>2</sup> SGLT2 SGLT2 Placebo SGLT2 Placebo Placebo SGLT2 Placebo inhibitor inhibitor inhibitor inhibitor Diabetes 125/8574 175/8569 85 56/8582 102/8578 1.6 3.0 0.55 (0.39-0.76) 3.5 4.9 DECLARE-TIMI 58 **CANVAS** Program 77 80/5795 81/4347 3.6 5.8 0.61 (0.45-0.83) 30/5790 28/4344 1.6 2.5 0.76 (0.49-1.19) 2.7 VERTIS CV 76 49/5499 32/2747 2.6 3.4 42/5493 22/2745 2.5 51/4645 7.6 0.51 (0.35-0.76) 45/4687 37/2333 2.5 6.2 EMPA-REG OUTCOME 74 47/2323 4.0 16 0.73 (0.39-1.34) 63 18/1075 24/1064 12 31/1073 39/1063 19 24 DAPA-HF 0.52 (0.26-1.03) 61 13/927 23/929 13 24 26/927 33/929 21 27 EMPEROR-REDUCED 38/1466 18 0.82 (0.53-1.27) 60/1466 84/1472 20 28 EMPEROR-PRESERVED 60 44/1472 15 33/1578 11 0.87 (0.54-1.39) 59/1578 15 DELIVER 60 37/1572 9.5 52/1572 17 CREDENCE 56 153/2202 230/2199 27 41 0.64(0.52 - 0.79)86/2200 98/2197 17 20 NA/NA NA/NA 25/605 27/611 55 59 SOLOIST-WHF 51 SCORED 37/5292 52/5292 5.0 7.0 0.71 (0.46-1.08) 116/5291 111/5286 16 16 44 173/1451 0.57 (0.45-0.73) 22 103/1455 35 60 48/1455 69/1451 15 DAPA-CKD 44 108/1525 36 0.55 (0.44-0.71) EMPA-KIDNEY 36 175/1515 59 73/1525 81/1515 24 27 1020/33489 .. 739/40041  $\diamond$ 0.62 (0.56-0.68) 766/40664 856/34087 ..  $\diamond$ Subtotal: diabetes 67 ... ... No diabetes DAPA-HF 68 10/1298 15/1307 5.0 8.0 0.67 (0.30-1.49) 18/1295 30/1305 9.9 16 28 63 5/936 10/938 5.2 10 0.50(0.17 - 1.48)20/936 34/938 16 EMPEROR-REDUCED DELIVER\* 63 17/1551 17/1557 5.0 4.9 1.01(0.51-1.97)30/1551 47/1558 8.8 14 0.68 (0.33-1.40) EMPEROR-PRESERVED 12/1531 18/1519 4.5 6.9 37/1531 47/1519 12 15 62 53 0.51(0.34 - 0.75)DAPA-CKD 42 39/697 70/701 29 16/697 21/701 11 15 0.74 (0.59-0.95) 119/1779 157/1790 35 47 34/1779 54/1790 10 16 EMPA-KIDNEY 39 287/7812  $\diamond$ 0.69 (0.57-0.82) 155/7789 233/7811 ..  $\diamond$ 202/7792 Subtotal: no diabetes 56 ...

Total: overall65Trend across trials sorted by eGFR:Diabetes p=0-87;No diabetes p=0-86;Heterogeneity by diabetes status: p=0-31

Favours SGLT2 inhibitor Favours placebo

0.25

0

0.50 0.75 1.00 1.50

0.63 (0.58-0.69)

921/48453 1089/41898 ..

Diabetes p=0.02;

No diabetes p=0.66;

Trend across trials sorted by eGFR:

Heterogeneity by diabetes status: p=0.12

Favours SGLT2 inhibitor Favours placebo

0.50 0.75 1.00 1.50

0

0.25

RR

(95% CI)

0.69 (0.55-0.87)

0.66 (0.39-1.11)

0.95 (0.57-1.59)

0.41 (0.27-0.63)

0.79 (0.50-1.25)

0.77 (0.46-1.28)

0.69 (0.50-0.97

1.13 (0.78-1.63)

0.85 (0.64-1.13)

1.04 (0.81-1.35)

0.66 (0.46-0.96

0.88 (0.64-1.20)

0.79 (0.72-0.88

0.60 (0.34-1.08)

0-56 (0-32-0-98)

0.64 (0.41-1.02)

0.80 (0.52-1.23)

0.75 (0.39-1.43)

0.63 (0.41-0.97)

0.66 (0.54-0.81

0.77 (0.70-0.84

Herrington W, Nuffield Population Health and SMART Consortium. Lancet 2022;400:1788-1801

1307/41301 ..

941/47833

#### Benefits of SGLT2 inhibitors on Heart Failure and Death

#### with and without CKD or Diabetes

	Cardiovascular dea	th or hospitalisa	tion for heart fa	ilure*		Cardiovascular d	leath		
	Mean baseline eGFR, mL/min per 1·73m	Mean Events/participants baseline eGFR, mL/min per 1.73m <sup>2</sup>		RR (95% CI)		Events/participants		RR (95% CI)	
		SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo			SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo		
Diabetes								I	
High atherosclerotic									
cardiovascular risk trials	80	1490/24563	1232/18005	-	0.80 (0.74-0.86)	1026/24563	755/18005		0.86 (0.78-0.95)
Stable heart failure trials†	61	923/5046	1154/5037	-	0.77 (0.71-0.84)	468/5046	527/5037	_ <b></b>	0.88 (0.78-0.99)
Chronic kidney disease trials	45	643/10474	847/10457	- <b>-</b>	0.74 (0.66-0.82)	363/10474	434/10457	_ <b></b>	0.83 (0.72-0.95)
Subtotal: diabetes	67	3056/40691	3233/34113	•	0.77 (0.73-0.81)	1908/40691	1774/34113	$\diamond$	0.86 (0.80-0.92)
No diabetes									
Stable heart failure trials†	64	710/5316	890/5322		0.78 (0.70-0.86)	396/5316	452/5322	_ <b>i</b>	0.88 (0.77-1.00)
Chronic kidney disease trials	40	50/2476	53/2491		- 0.95 (0.65-1.40)	26/2476	25/2491		→ 1.04 (0.59-1.83)
Subtotal: no diabetes	56	760/7792	943/7813	$\rightarrow$	0.79 (0.72-0.87)	422/7792	477/7813	$\sim$	0.88 (0.78-1.01)
Total: overall	65	3816/48483	4176/41926	\$	0.77 (0.74-0.81)	2330/48483	2251/41926	$\diamond$	0.86 (0.81-0.92)
Heterogeneity by diabetes sta	atus: p=0.67					Heterogeneity by	diabetes status:	p=0-68	
			· · · ·						<b></b>
	Non-cardiovascula	r death				All-cause death			
Diabetes									
High atherosclerotic									
cardiovascular risk trials	80	572/24557	461/18003		0.88 (0.78-1.00)	1671/24563	1299/18005		0.87 (0.81-0.94)
Stable heart failure trials†	61	317/5046	316/5037	_	1.00 (0.86-1.16)	785/5046	843/5037	-	0.93 (0.84-1.02)
Chronic kidney disease trials	45	230/10474	240/10457		0.94 (0.79-1.12)	599/10 474	683/10457		0.87 (0.78-0.97)
Subtotal: diabetes	67	1133/40685	1035/34111	$\bigcirc$	0.93 (0.85-1.01)	3120/40691	2901/34113		0.88 (0.84-0.93)
No diabetes									
Stable heart failure trials†	64	263/5316	251/5322		1.05 (0.88-1.24)	659/5316	703/5322	_	0.94 (0.85-1.05)
Chronic kidney disease trials	40	38/2476	52/2491		0.74 (0.49–1.14)	64/2476	77/2491		- 0.84 (0.60-1.18)
Subtotal: no diabetes	56	301/7792	303/7813		1.00 (0.85-1.17)	723/7792	780/7813		0.93 (0.84-1.03)
Total: overall	65	1434/48477	1338/41924		0.94 (0.88-1.02)	3843/48483	3681/41926	-	0.89 (0.85-0.94)
Heterogeneity by diabetes st	atus: p=0.43	-151110 177	-550,4-524	$\sim$	0 94(0 00 1 01)	Heterogeneity by	diabetes status: r	p=0-36	
in a second s						Sector genercy by	Г		
			0.50	0.75 1.00 1.	251.50		0-50	0 0.75 1.00	1.25 1.50
				← -	→			- →	→
			SG	LT2 inhibitor Favo	ours placebo			SGLT2 inhibitor F	avours placebo

Herrington W, Nuffield Population Health and SMART Consortium. *Lancet* 2022;400:1788-1801



# Holistic Approach for Patients with Diabetes and CKD



de Boer IH *et al. Diabetes Care* 2022;45:3075-3090 KDIGO Diabetes Work Group. *Kidney Int* 2022;102(5S):S1-S127

# FIDELIO and FIGARO Finerenone in CKD and Type 2 Diabetes

Finerenone 10/20 mg daily versus placebo Standard-of-care with ACE inhibitor or ARB use

Primary Composite Outcome



**FIDELIO** eGFR decline 40%, kidney failure, kidney disease death

**FIGARO** MI, stroke, heart failure hospitalization, CVD death

Pitt B et al. N Engl J Med 2021;385:2252-2263

# FIDELITY Meta-Analysis: Kidney and Cardiovascular Events with Finerenone versus Placebo

13,026 patients followed	Com
for median of 3.0 years	CON
101 111001011 01 010 yours	D

- CVD outcome HR 0.86; 95% CI 0.78–0.95
- Kidney disease outcome HR 0.77; 95% CI 0.67–0.88
- Similar risk reductions in SGLT2 inhibitor users (5-10%)

Outcome	Finerenone (n = 6519)		Placebo (n = 6507)		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value*
	Number of patients with event (%)	Number of patients with event per 100 patient-years	Number of patients with event (%)	Number of patients with event per 100 patient-years		
Composite cardiovascular outcome <sup>b</sup>	825 (12.7)	4.34	939 (14.4)	5.01	0.86 (0.78–0.95)	0.0018
Death from cardiovascular causes	322 (4.9)	1.61	364 (5.6)	1.84	0.88 (0.76-1.02)	0.092
Non-fatal myocardial infarction	173 (2.7)	88.0	189 (2.9)	0.97	0.91 (0.74–1.12)	0.36
Non-fatal stroke	198 (3.0)	1.01	198 (3.0)	1.02	0.99 (0.82–1.21)	0.95
Hospitalization for heart failure	256 (3.9)	1.31	325 (5.0)	1.68	0.78 (0.66-0.92)	0.0030
eGFR ≥57% composite kidney outcome⁰	360 (5.5)	1.96	465 (7.1)	2.55	⊷●→ 0.77 (0.67–0.88)	0.0002
Kidney failure	254 (3.9)	1.38	297 (4.6)	1.62	0.84 (0.71–0.99)	0.039
End-stage kidney disease <sup>4</sup>	151 (2.3)	0.76	188 (2.9)	0.96	0.80 (0.64-0.99)	0.040*
Sustained decrease in eGFR to <15 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	195 (3.0)	1.06	237 (3.6)	1.29	● 0.81 (0.67–0.98)	0.026*
Sustained ≥57% decrease in eGFR from baseline	257 (3.9)	1.40	361 (5.5)	4.03	0.70 (0.60-0.83)	< 0.0001
Renal death	2 (<0.1)	0.01	4 (<0.1)	0.02	0.53 (0.10-2.91)	0.46°
eGFR ≥40% composite kidney outcome′	854 (13.1)	4.81	995 (15.3)	5.64	→ 0.85 (0.77–0.93)	0.0004
Sustained ≥40% decrease in eGFR from baseline	817 (12.5)	4.60	962 (14.8)	5.45	0.84 (0.76–0.92)	0.0002
Death from any cause	552 (8.5)	2.76	614 (9.4)	3.10	0.89 (0.79->1.00	) 0.051*
Hospitalization for any cause	2836 (43.5)	19.04	2926 (45.0)	19.91	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	0.087*

Agarwal R, et al. Eur Heart J 2022;43:474-484

Favours finerenone Favours placebo

# FIDELITY: Finerenone Use and Kidney Disease Outcomes by eGFR and Albuminuria Strata



Bakris GL et al. Kidney International 2023;103:196-206

# Mineralocorticoid Receptors in CKD

- Homeostatic regulation of electrolyte transport occurs in the cortical collecting duct
- Mineralocorticoid receptors upregulate inflammatory and fibrotic pathways in nonepithelial cells



**Rationale for Non-Steroidal Mineralocorticoid Antagonist (MRA)** 

# Aldosterone Synthase Inhibition with or without SGLT2 inhibition in CKD



#### EASi-KIDNEY<sup>™</sup>: phase III trial for BI 690517 in CKD

Oxford Population Health and Boehringer Ingelheim plan a phase III international trial called EASi-KIDNEY<sup>™</sup>

In 2024, EASi-KIDNEY will begin recruitment to test the efficacy and safety of the aldosterone synthase inhibitor BI 690517 versus matching placebo, given on top of standard-of-care including both a RAS inhibitor and an SGLT2 inhibitor.

EASi-KIDNEY<sup>™</sup> will recruit and follow >11,000 participants with CKD

# GLP-1 Receptor Agonism Cardiovascular Trials in Type 2 Diabetes

- Reduce risk of major adverse CVD events.
  - Atherosclerotic CVD (3-point MACE: myocardial infarction, stroke, CVD death)
  - CVD death (liraglutide, semaglutide)
- Decrease macroalbuminuria and eGFR decline from early- to late-stage CKD (liraglutide, dulaglutide, semaglutide)
- CVD and CKD benefits are present in patients with CKD.

### GLP-1 Receptor Agonists for Prevention of Kidney Disease Outcomes

Composite kidney ou	tcome including macroal	lbuminuria				
ELIXA	172/2647 (6%)	203/2639 (8%)		- 0.84 (0.68 to 1.0	02)	0.083
LEADER	268/4668 (6%)	337/4672 (7%)	-	0·78 (0·67 to 0·9	2)	0.003
SUSTAIN-6	62/1648 (4%)	100/1649 (6%)		0·64 (0·46 to 0·8	38)	0.002
EXSCEL	366/6256 (6%)	407/6222 (7%)		0.88 (0.76 to 1.0	)1)	0.065
REWIND	848/4949 (17%)	970/4952 (20%)	-	0·85 (0·77 to 0·9	3)	0.000
AMPLITUDE-0	353/2717 (13%)	250/1359 (18%)	+	0.68 (0.57 to 0.7	9)	<0.000
Subtotal (l²=47·5%, p	<b>b</b> =0·090)		$\diamond$	0·79 (0·73 to 0·2	87) 47 (37 to 77)	<0.000
Worsening of kidney	function					
ELIXA	41/3031 (1%)	35/3032 (1%)		▲ 1·16 (0·74 to 1·8	3)	0.513
LEADER	87/4668 (2%)	97/4672 (2%)	•		9)	0.43
SUSTAIN-6	18/1648 (1%)	14/1649 (1%)		■ 1·28 (0·64 to 2·5	8)	0.48
EXSCEL	246/6456 (4%)	273/6458 (4%)	-	- 0.88 (0.74 to 1.0	5)	0.16
REWIND	169/4949 (3%)	237/4952 (5%)		0·70 (0·57 to 0·8	5)	0.000
AMPLITUDE-0	7/2717 (<1%)	7/1359 (1%) —	•	0·35 (0·10 to 1·2)	7)	0.11
Subtotal (l²=43∙0%, p	o=0·12)		$\diamond$	0.86 (0.72 to 1.	02) 241 (120 to -1694) <sup>-</sup>	t 0·089
			0.5 1	1.5		
			←			

Favours GLP-1 receptor agonists Favours placebo

# SUSTAIN-6 and PIONEER-6: Kidney Function Stabilized by Semaglutide

eGFR slope with estimated treatment difference (ETD) >0.75 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> per year predicts significantly lower risk of kidney failure versus placebo



Semaglutide

Placebo

Tuttle KR *et al. Kidney Int* 2023;**103:772-781** Inker LA *et al. J Am Soc Nephrol* 2019;30:1735-1745

### AWARD-7: Dulaglutide versus Insulin Glargine in Type 2 Diabetes and Moderate-to-Severe CKD



#### Tuttle KR et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2018;6:605-617



Perkovic V, Tuttle KR, *et al. N Engl J Med* 2024;391:109-121 Rossing P, Pratley R *et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2023;38:2041–2051

#### **Hierarchical Testing Strategy**



#### FLOW Included Participants with Type 2 Diabetes and High- and Very-High-Risk CKD



#### **CKD Risk Categories Guide Management**



Perkovic V, Tuttle KR et al. N Engl J Med 2024;391:109-121

de Boer IH et al. *Diabetes Care* 2022;45:3075–3090; Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Diabetes Work Group. *Kidney Int* 2022;102:S1–S127.

#### **Composite Primary Kidney Disease Outcome**



Time since randomisation (months)

Superiority if one-sided p value <0.01612

#### Composite Kidney Disease Outcome by Components

					HR (95% CI)	Semaglutide (n/N)	Placebo (n/N)
Primary outcome: Composite kidney outcome		⊢-■			<b>0.76</b> (0.66, 0.88)	331/1767	410/1766
Kidney failure		<b>⊢■</b>			<b>0.83</b> (0.66, 1.03)	142/1767	165/1766
Initiation of chronic kidn replacement therapy	ney				<b>0.84</b> (0.63, 1.12)	87/1767	100/1766
Onset of persistent eGFF <15 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	R				<b>0.80</b> (0.61, 1.06)	92/1767	110/1766
Onset of persistent ≥50% reduction in eGFR	H				<b>0.73</b> (0.59, 0.89)	165/1767	213/1766
Kidney death	H				<b>0.97</b> (0.27, 3.49)	5/1767	5/1766
CV death	H				<b>0.71</b> (0.56, 0.89)	123/1767	169/1766
•	0.1 0.4	1.0	2.7	7.3			
Fc	avours semaglutide	÷ F	avours placeb	00			

#### Cardiovascular Death, Non-Fatal MI or Non-Fatal Stroke



Time since randomisation (months)

Superiority if one-sided p value <0.01612

#### **All-Cause Death**



Time since randomisation (months)

Superiority if one-sided p value <0.01612

#### Clinical Benefits of Semaglutide over 3 Years

To prevent one primary outcome:



To prevent one MACE:



To prevent one death due to any cause:

#### Primary Kidney Disease Outcome Subgroup Analyses



					HR (95% Cl)	p heterogeneity	Semaglutide, n/N	n/N
Primary analysis			⊢-■		<b>0.76</b> (0.66, 0.88)		331/1767	410/1766
Sex								
Female			F		<b>0.70</b> (0.53, 0.92)	0.46	88/519	127/550
Male			⊢∎4		<b>0.79</b> (0.66, 0.94)		243/1248	283/1216
Age, years								
<65			<b>⊢≣</b>		<b>0.71</b> (0.57, 0.90)	0.33	131/633	175/652
≥65-<75					<b>0.85</b> (0.69, 1.06)		160/816	170/773
≥75		H			<b>0.63</b> (0.42, 0.93)		40/318	65/341
BMI, kg/m²								
≤30					<b>0.82</b> (0.65, 1.03)	0.46	142/734	164/733
>30			⊢		<b>0.73</b> (0.61, 0.89)		189/1031	244/1029
Diabetes duration, years								
<15			⊢ <b></b>		<b>0.90</b> (0.72, 1.13)	0.06	148/774	153/733
≥15			⊢		<b>0.68</b> (0.56, 0.82)		183/992	257/1013
HbA <sub>1c</sub> , %								
≤8.0			<b>⊢■</b> (		<b>0.75</b> (0.62, 0.90)	0.68	200/1106	251/1093
>8.0			<b>⊢−</b>		<b>0.79</b> (0.63, 1.00)		131/659	159/672
	4				•			
	0.1	0.4	1.0	2.7				
	<b>F</b>	and the second		and a second s				

Favors semaglutide

**Favors placebo** 

Full analysis set. Data from the in-trial period. BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HbA<sub>1c</sub>, glycated hemoglobin; HR, hazard ratio. Perkovic V, Tuttle KR *et al. N Engl J Med* 2024;391:109-121

#### Change in eGFR at Week 104 by Baseline SGLT2i Use



#### p-interaction=0.686

Full analysis set. Data from the in-trial period. Data shown are mean estimates (SE) (95% CI). CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SE, standard error; SGLT2i, sodium–glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor. Mann JFE et al. *Nat Med* 2024; doi: 10.1038/s41591-024-03133-0.



#### Change in eGFR at Week 104 by Baseline SGLT2i Use





#### p-interaction=0.686

p-interaction=0.901

Full analysis set. Data from the in-trial period. Data shown are mean estimates (SE) (95% CI).

CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SE, standard error; SGLT2i, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor.

Mann JFE et al. *Nat Med* 2024; doi: 10.1038/s41591-024-03133-0.

# Consistent Benefit of SGLT2i on Kidney Outcomes by Baseline GLP-1RA use (40% decline in eGFR, kidney failure or death due or kidney failure)



	Events/patients (%)	)	Event rate per 100 pat	ient years		
	SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo	SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo		HR (95% CI)
Baseline use of GLP-1 RA 2,984						
High atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk trials	14/936 (1·5)	17/693(2.5)	0.4	1.0	<u> </u>	0·60 (0·27 to 1·31)
Stable heart failure trials	3/47(6·4)	3/33(9·1)	2.2	4.7	<u>I</u>	0·64 (0·13 to 3·12)
Chronic kidney disease trials	40/635(6·3)	53/640 (8·3)	3.2	4.5		0·67 (0·44 to 1·02)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.64)	57/1618 (3·5)	73/1366 (5·3)	1.6	2.9		0·65 (0·46 to 0·94)
No baseline use of GLP-1 RA 70,122					1	
High atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk trials	469/23585(2·0)	526/17302(3.0)	0.6	1.3		0·59 (0·52 to 0·67)
Stable heart failure trials	235/4781(4.9)	231/4798 (4.8)	2.9	3.1	_ <b>_</b>	1·02 (0·85 to 1·22)
Chronic kidney disease trials	688/9839 (7·0)	1015/9817(10·3)	3.7	5.6		0·64 (0·58 to 0·71)
Subtotal (I-squared = 70·4%, p<0.001)	1392/38205(3.6)	1772/31917 (5.6)	1.7	2.9	$\diamond$	0·67 (0·62 to 0·72)
Total <b>73,106</b>	1449/39823(3.6)	1845/33283(5·5)	1.7	2.9	$\diamond$	0·67 (0·62 to 0·72)
Heterogeneity by use of GLP-1 RA: p = 0.81				0	.25 0.5 1.0 2.0 4.0	

HR (95% CI)

Favours Favours SGLT2 inhibitor placebo



### GLP-1 Receptor Agonists in Discovery and Pre-Clinical Science



Tanaka T *et al. Kidney Int* 2014; 86:701–711 Alicic RZ, Cox EJ, Neumiller JJ, Tuttle KR. *Nature Reviews Nephrology* 2021;17:227-244



#### **Kidney GLP-1 receptors**

- Intrinsic cells
  - Endothelial
  - Proximal tubular cells?
- Infiltrating cells
  - Macrophages
  - T lymphocytes

# Semaglutide 2.4 mg in Overweight or Obesity and CVD Reduced the Secondary Kidney Outcome by 22%

#### Time to first occurrence of the main 5-component kidney composite outcome 5-component kidney composite outcome Semaglutide Placebo 2.4 mg (N=8803), HR [95% CI]; p value 0.03 (N=8801), n (%) n (%) Incidence with semaglutide 2.4 mg: 1.8% Incidence with placebo: 2.2% 5-component kidney composite 155 (1.8) 198 (2.2) 0.78 [0.63, 0.96]; 0.02 H HR [95% CI]: 0.78 [0.63, 0.96]; p=0.02 outcome Probability of event N/A Death due to kidney disease 0 0 0.02 Initiation of chronic kidney 4 (0.0) 6 (0.1) 0.66 [0.17, 2.32]; 0.52 replacement therapy\* Onset of persistent eGFR 5 (0.1) 4 (0.0) 1.24 [0.33, 5.02]; 0.74 <15 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> 0.01 Onset of persistent $\geq$ 50% Semaglutide 2.4 mg 12/8724<sup>+</sup> (0.1) 21/8742<sup>+</sup> (0.2) 0.57 [0.27, 1.14]; 0.11 reduction in eGFR Placebo **Onset of persistent** 144 (1.6) 179 (2.0) 0.80 [0.64, 1.00]; 0.05 macroalbuminuria 0.00 0 40 80 120 160 200 1.0 10.0 0.1 Time since randomisation (weeks) Favors semaglutide 2.4 mg Favors placebo

#### eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or UACR ≥30 mg/g in 21.3%

Colhoun HM, et al. Nat Med 2024;30:2058-2066

#### CKD Onset in Diabetes: Who and When? CURE-CKD 2015-2020 (N=654,459)

**CKD Incidence in Diabetes by Demography** 



### CKD Identification, Monitoring, and Actions by Risk Categories



de Boer IH *et al. Diabetes Care* 2022;45:3075-3090 KDIGO Diabetes Work Group. *Kidney Int* 2022;102(5S):S1-S127



# Albuminuria/Proteinuria Monitoring is Low in CKD CURE-CKD 2006-2017 (N=606,064)

	All CKD	CKD/DM/PDM/HTN	CKD/HTN	CKD/DM/PDM	CKD Alone	
UACR, mg/g						
≤30	17 651 (2.9)	12 703 (4.2)	1776 (1.3)	2224 (2.7)	948 (1.1)	
>30 to ≤300	27 227 (4.5)	21 435 (7.1)	1089 (0.8)	4066 (5.0)	637 (0.7)	
>300	7673 (1.3)	5860 (2.0)	509 (0.4)	995 (1.2)	309 (0.3)	
Not measured	553 513 (91.3)	260 159 (86.7)	131 126 (97.5)	73 981 (91.0)	88 247 (97.9)	
UPCR, mg/g						
≤150	14 467 (2.4)	7823 (2.6)	2723 (2.0)	2076 (2.6)	1845 (2.0)	
>150 to ≤500	5688 (0.9)	3087 (1.0)	1163 (0.9)	763 (0.9)	675 (0.7)	
>500	4880 (0.8)	2978 (1.0)	785 (0.6)	696 (0.9)	421 (0.5)	
Not measured	581 029 (95.9)	286 269 (95.4)	129 829 (96.5)	77 731 (95.7)	87 200 (96.7)	
Age, median (IQR) [No.], y	70 (59-81) [606 064]	70 (60-79) [300 157]	72 (60-83) [134 500	)] 73 (63-83) [81 266]	64 (42-81) [90 141]	
eGFR, median (IQR) [No.], mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	53 (41-61) [524 169]	54 (43-63) [266 838]	53 (44-59) [115 061	.] 49 (35-59) [74 366]	53 (41-66) [67 904]	
SBP, mean (SD) [No.], mm Hg	129 (18) [365 561]	131 (18) [202 951]	132 (18) [92 051]	119 (17) [25 533]	119 (16) [45 026]	
DBP, mean (SD) [No.], mm Hg	72 (11) [365 561]	72 (10) [202 951]	74 (11) [92 051]	67 (10) [25 533]	70 (10) [45 026]	
						_

Tuttle KR et al. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e1918169

### Medication Use in CKD Stages 3-5 ND CURE-CKD 2006-2017 (N=606,064)



The Majority of People with CKD are Unaware of Their Condition





Aware of CKD

The Majority of People with CKD are Unaware of Their Condition



# Prescription of Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy Is Suboptimal in Diabetes and CKD

US CURE-CKD Registry study, an electronic health records database from Providence and UCLA Health system (2019–2020)



#### High unmet treatment needs in patients with chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes: real-world evidence from a US claims database



may benefit from further treatment options to improve morbidity and mortality and reduce the economic burden.

DIALYSIS ANSPLANTATION

Fried, L., et al. NDT (2022) @NDTSocial

Fried L et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2023;38:630–643

#### 



iaht high unmet needs of CKD and T2D. Low initiation and high discontinuation of



#### **BARRIERS TO APPROPRIATE MEDICATION PRESCRIBING AND USE IN CKD**

Luyckx VA, Tuttle KR et al. Kidney Int 2024;105:406-417

# International Retail Drug Prices for a 30-Day Supply GDMT for Diabetes and CKD

			Retail Price for a 1	l-mo Supply, US	\$	
Drug Classes	United States	Canada	United Kingdom	India	Australia and New Zealand	Turkey
SGLT2 inhibitors						
Empagliflozin	550-660	40-90	90	30-150	80-90	30-50
Dapagliflozin	530-650	40-180	90-120	40-80	100-150	40-80
Canagliflozin	560-650	70-170	100-140	60-140	ND	ND
Finerenone	580-690	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
GLP-1 receptor agoni	sts					
Dulaglutide	770-1050	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Semaglutide	880-1300	320-340	200	ND	ND	ND
Liraglutide	1000-1220	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

The sources were the PharmacyChecker (46) and GoodRx (43) websites (accessed April 11, 2022) (41,44). Prices are rounded to nearest \$10. Semaglutide prices are for subcutaneous and oral formulations. SGLT2, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; ND, no data; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide 1.

### Accelerated Risk-Based GDMT for CKD in Type 2 Diabetes

#### TRADITIONAL APPROACH

Maximise RAS blockade, add SGLT2i, re-assess in 3-6 months, add ns-MRA, consider GLP-1 RA Limitations: Ignores excess early cardiovascular risk, very high risk of therapeutic inertia

#### **RAPID SEQUENCE APPROACH**

Simultaneous or rapid implementation of GDMT for type 2 diabetes and CKD Considerations: Assumes all patients with CKD are at equally high risk, cost-effectiveness and early safety uncertain

#### ACCELERATED RISK-BASED APPROACH

Identify patients at highest risk using validated risk score, prioritise accelerated implementation of GDMT for type 2 diabetes and CKD Appeal: Match intensity of treatment to risk, prioritise patients likely to obtain greatest absolute benefits

#### в

#### Accelerated risk-based implementation of GDMT for type 2 diabetes and CKD

Stratify risk using validated risk score (e.g. KDIGO heat map or KFRE)



#### Very high/high risk

- Early nephrology referral
- Up-front, accelerated sequence combination therapy

#### Moderate/low risk

- Primary care management
- As needed specialist referral
- Traditional, sequential add-on therapy

Neuen BL, Tuttle KR, Vaduganathan M. Circulation 2024:149:1238-1240

#### Take Home Points

- An SGLT2 inhibitor, and an ACE inhibitor or an ARB, are first-line GDMT for persons with CKD with or without diabetes.
- A GLP-1 receptor agonist and a non-steroidal MRA are currently considered risk-based GDMT for albuminuria, glycemia, weight, and CVD for CKD with type 2 diabetes.
- Semaglutide is the first GLP-1 receptor agonist proven to improve kidney, CVD, and survival outcomes in patients with CKD and type 2 diabetes.
- CKD detection, awareness, access to care, and implementation strategies are needed to realize the benefits of kidney-heart-lifesaving therapies.

#### Save kidneys, hearts, and lives!